

January 23, 1976

excluded the training of animals but it didn't say the training of fowl. Now does the name "animal" in this legislative bill include fowl or does it not?

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: To answer the question.

PRESIDENT: Oh, excuse me, all right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature, the word "animal" is a broad generic term that includes all of all creatures which are not vegetables or plants.

PRESIDENT: Senator DeCamp, would you close on your motion to return the bill for specific amendment.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, I have directed my attentions to the bill and what it says and the simple words that are before you. Senator Chambers and the others, in previous arguments, have described to you horrible situations which in fact probably don't exist, it is my understanding, and I am not an expert on cockfighting. Cockfighting isn't my interest in the bill. My interest is all the other things it contains but he has described a situation that is supposedly horrible, and maybe it is. Maybe it is. I don't know but there are laws on the books right now to protect against it. As to whether this bill would affect dogs for the blind, yes, it would. How do you train dogs for the blind? How do you train police dogs? You train them through a reward and punishment system. There is nothing in the amendments, I just looked up, up there that are going to correct it. It would eliminate this training but let's go beyond that. There are people who raise poultry, chickens, in this state simply for the purpose of developing good blood lines, that are exported then to other states. They are exported with the "intent that they may go to places where they do fight chickens". These people here have no cockfighting but we are eliminating that aspect of agriculture, and it is an aspect of agriculture. I just think that unless there is an overriding problem, something that is monumental, we should not be stepping in with massive legislation and treading into agricultural areas with this, treading into all these other areas, without some overwhelming justification. Today Senator Chambers is worried about one aspect and so he mounts this massive legislation. Tomorrow, what is his concern? I don't know but I know that Senator Chambers' experience and knowledge in agriculture probably is not sufficient for him to be treading into it as far as he is with legislation like this. There is no serious problem. Move the bill back. Put it to death.

PRESIDENT: The question is, shall the bill be returned to Select File for a specific amendment? Record your vote. Have you voted? Record. Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: How many are excused today?